

From polynomial functors to functor calculi

Brenda Johnson

Union College

March 15th, 2022

Plan

1. Perspective
2. Examples
 - 2.1 Calculus of homotopy functors
 - 2.2 Abelian functor calculus
 - 2.3 Discrete functor calculus
3. A general framework for calculus

Perspective – Polynomial Functors via Calculus

Taylor polynomials

Given a nice function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its n th Taylor polynomial

- ▶ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$, and, hence, may be easier to work with than f ,
- ▶ provides an approximation of f in a prescribed way (at least within the radius of convergence), and
- ▶ becomes a better approximation to f as n increases.

Perspective – Polynomial Functors via Calculus

Goal:

Define new ways to associate a sequence of functors $\{P_n F\}_{n \geq 0}$ to a functor F so that

- ▶ $P_n F$ is “nice” in the sense of some property indexed by n ($P_n F$ is degree n),
- ▶ there is a natural transformation $\eta_n : F \Rightarrow P_n F$ such that $P_n F$ is universal among degree n functors with natural transformations from F ($P_n F$ is related to F),
- ▶ the induced natural transformations $P_m \eta_n : P_m F \Rightarrow P_m P_n F$ and $\eta_n : P_m F \rightarrow P_n P_m F$ are equivalences when $m \leq n$ ($P_n F$ preserves degree m part of F).

Perspective – Equivalence

Coming from a topological point of view, we work in settings with a weaker notion of equivalence, which we'll denote \simeq :

- ▶ Topological spaces: $f : X \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$ iff f is a weak homotopy equivalence, that is, $f_j : \pi_j(X) \rightarrow \pi_j(Y)$ is an isomorphism for all $j \geq 0$.
- ▶ Chain complexes: $f : A_* \xrightarrow{\simeq} B_*$ iff f is a chain homotopy equivalence or, alternatively, a f is a quasi-isomorphism.
- ▶ (Simplicial) model categories: $f : C \xrightarrow{\simeq} D$ iff f is a weak equivalence.

Theorem [Goodwillie, 2003]

For a functor F of spaces or spectra that preserves weak homotopy equivalences, there is a Taylor tower of functors and natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & F & & & \\ & & & \downarrow & & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & P_{n+1}F & \longrightarrow & P_nF & \longrightarrow & P_{n-1}F & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & P_0F \end{array}$$

such that

- ▶ for all $n \geq 0$, P_nF is an n -excisive functor,
- ▶ if F is “nice,” the tower converges to F on sufficiently “nice” objects ($F(x) \rightarrow P_nF(x)$ is roughly $(n+1)k$ -connected when x is k -connected), and
- ▶ P_nF is universal (up to a zig-zag of weak equivalences) among n -excisive functors with natural transformations from F .

n -excisive functors – n -cubical diagrams

$\mathcal{P}(n)$ is the poset of subsets of $n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

E.g., $\mathcal{P}(2)$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \{1\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{2\} & \longrightarrow & \{1, 2\} \end{array}$$

An n -cube in a category \mathcal{C} is a functor from $\mathcal{P}(n)$ to \mathcal{C} . E.g., a 2-cube is a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_{12} \end{array} ,$$

a 3-cube diagram is a commuting cube in \mathcal{C} , etc.

n -excisive functors

- ▶ A n -cubical diagram of spaces (spectra) is strongly cocartesian if every 2-face is a homotopy pushout square.
- ▶ A functor of spaces (spectra) is n -excisive if it takes strongly cocartesian $(n + 1)$ -cubical diagrams to homotopy cartesian (homotopy pullback) diagrams.

Examples

- ▶ The identity functor of spaces Id is not n -excisive for any n . (Homotopy pullback n -cubes are not the same as homotopy pushout n -cubes in spaces.)
- ▶ (Snaith splitting) For the functor from spaces to spectra, $F : X \mapsto \Sigma^\infty \Omega \Sigma X$,

$$P_m F(X) \simeq \prod_{1 \leq n \leq m} \Sigma^\infty (X^{\wedge n}).$$

- ▶ For the identity functor Id of spaces, $P_1 \text{Id} \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty = Q$, the stable homotopy functor.

Applications

- ▶ **Homotopy Theory:** The Taylor tower of the identity functor of spaces interpolates between stable homotopy theory and unstable homotopy theory, and has contributed to new perspectives on homotopy theory.
- ▶ **Algebraic K-theory:** Under mild hypotheses, two functors F and G agree “up to a constant” if there is a natural transformation $F \Rightarrow G$ such that the induced map

$$\text{hofiber}(P_1 F(X) \rightarrow F(*)) \rightarrow \text{hofiber}(P_1 G(X) \rightarrow G(*))$$

is a weak equivalence for “nice” X . Used to compare algebraic K-theory to topological Hochschild and cyclic homology.

Abelian Functor Calculus – Context

- ▶ \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are abelian categories and $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a functor.
- ▶ Eilenberg and Mac Lane (1954) defined “polynomial degree n ” functors in this context in terms of *cross effects*.
- ▶ Eilenberg and Mac Lane (1951); and Dold and Puppe (1961) constructed new functors QF (for stable homology of R -modules with coefficients in S) and DF (for derived functors of non-additive functors) that are degree 1 polynomial approximations to F .

Cross Effects

Definition:

For $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ where \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} are abelian categories, the n th cross effect functor $cr_n F : \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined recursively by

$$cr_0 F = F(0)$$

$$F(X) \cong F(0) \oplus cr_1 F(X),$$

$$cr_1 F(X_1 \oplus X_2) \cong cr_1 F(X_1) \oplus cr_1 F(X_2) \oplus cr_2 F(X_1, X_2),$$

and, in general,

$$\begin{aligned} cr_{n-1} F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}, X_{n-1} \oplus X_n) &\cong cr_{n-1} F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}, X_{n-1}) \\ &\oplus cr_{n-1} F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-2}, X_n) \\ &\oplus cr_n F(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, X_n). \end{aligned}$$

Degree n functors

Definition:

$F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is *degree n* if and only if $cr_{n+1}F \simeq 0$.

Example

A is an object in an abelian category \mathcal{A} , $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $F(X) = A \oplus X$. Then

$$A \oplus X = F(X) \cong F(0) \oplus cr_1F(X).$$

Thus,

$$cr_1F(X) \cong X,$$

$$cr_1F \cong \text{id}.$$

And,

$$X \oplus Y \cong cr_1F(X \oplus Y) \cong cr_1F(X) \oplus cr_1F(Y) \oplus cr_2F(X, Y),$$

$$cr_2F \cong 0.$$

Abelian Functor Calculus

Theorem (J-McCarthy, 2004)

Given a functor $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ between abelian categories \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} , there exists a Taylor tower of functors and natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{c} F \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \dots \longrightarrow P_{n+1}F \longrightarrow P_nF \longrightarrow P_{n-1}F \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0F \end{array}$$

such that

- ▶ for all $n \geq 0$, P_nF is a degree n functor,
- ▶ if F is “nice,” the tower converges to F on “nice” objects, and
- ▶ P_nF is universal (in an appropriate homotopy category) among degree n functors with natural transformations from F .

Abelian functor calculus and cartesian differential categories

Bauer, J, Osborne, Riehl, Tebbe, 2018

There is a notion of directional derivative coming out of abelian functor calculus that endows a category $\text{HoAbCat}_{\text{Ch}}$ with the structure of a Cartesian differential category.

Constructing $P_n F$ in the abelian functor calculus

Lemma:

There is an adjunction

$$\mathrm{Fun}_*(\mathcal{B}^n, \mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{cr_n} \end{array} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$$

where $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$ is the category of functors from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{A} and $\mathrm{Fun}_*(\mathcal{B}^n, \mathcal{A})$ is the category of functors of n variables from \mathcal{B} to \mathcal{A} that are reduced in each variable, and Δ is the diagonal functor.

Consequence:

$C_n := \Delta^* cr_n$ is a comonad on $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A})$. For $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $X \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$C_n F(X) := cr_n F(X, X, \dots, X).$$

Constructing $P_n F$ in the abelian functor calculus

Definition:

For $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $P_n F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow Ch_{\geq 0} \mathcal{A}$ is the chain complex

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_{n+1}^{\times 3} F \xrightarrow{\epsilon - C_{n+1} \epsilon + C_{n+1}^{\times 2} \epsilon} C_{n+1}^{\times 2} F \xrightarrow{\epsilon - C_{n+1} \epsilon} C_{n+1} F \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F$$

where $\epsilon : C_{n+1} = \Delta^* cr_{n+1} \Rightarrow \text{id}$ is the counit of the adjunction (Δ^*, cr_{n+1}) .

Constructing $P_n F$ in the abelian functor calculus

Proposition:

For $F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, $P_n F : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow Ch_{\geq 0} \mathcal{A}$ is a degree n functor.

Proof:

There is a natural contracting homotopy on $cr_{n+1} P_n F$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & cr_{n+1} C_{n+1}^{\times 2} F & \xrightarrow{cr_{n+1}(\epsilon - C_{n+1}\epsilon)} & cr_{n+1} C_{n+1} F & \xrightarrow{cr_{n+1}\epsilon} & cr_{n+1} F \\ & \longleftarrow & & \longleftarrow s_1 & & \longleftarrow s_0 & \end{array}$$

given by $s_k = \eta cr_{n+1} (C_{n+1})^{\times k}$ where $\eta : \text{id} \Rightarrow cr_{n+1} \Delta^*$ is the unit of the adjunction (Δ^*, cr_{n+1}) .

Questions

- ▶ Can we do something like this in a more topological (or homotopy-theoretical) context?
- ▶ How would it compare with Goodwillie's calculus?
- ▶ Can we make other calculi this way?

Discrete Functor Calculus

Theorem (Bauer, J, McCarthy, 2015; Mauer-Oats, 2006)

Given a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between a simplicial model category \mathcal{C} and a pointed stable simplicial model category \mathcal{D} , there exists a Taylor tower of functors and natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{c} F \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ \dots \longrightarrow P_{n+1}F \longrightarrow P_nF \longrightarrow P_{n-1}F \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0F \end{array}$$

such that

- ▶ for all $n \geq 0$, P_nF is a **degree n** functor,
- ▶ P_nF is universal (in an appropriate homotopy category) among degree n functors with natural transformations from F .

Degree n functors

Definition:

F is degree n iff $cr_{n+1}F \simeq *$.

Definition:

For an n -tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ of objects in \mathcal{C} ,

$$cr_n F(X) := \text{ihofiber} (U \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mapsto F(X_1(U) \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_n(U)))$$

where

$$X_i(U) = \begin{cases} X_i & i \notin U, \\ * & i \in U. \end{cases}$$

Example

$cr_2F(X_1, X_2)$ is the iterated homotopy fiber of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_1 \sqcup X_2) & \longrightarrow & F(* \sqcup X_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(X_1 \sqcup *) & \longrightarrow & F(* \sqcup *). \end{array}$$

Construction of $P_n F$ for the discrete calculus

Lemma

$\perp_{n+1} = \Delta^* c r_{n+1}$ defines a comonad on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.

Definition

For a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$,

- ▶ $k \mapsto \text{Bar}_k^{n+1} F := \perp_{n+1}^{k+1} F$ defines a simplicial object in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$.
- ▶ The counit $\epsilon : \perp_{n+1} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})}$ makes this an augmented simplicial object:

$$\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{n+1} F \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F.$$

- ▶ $P_n F := \text{hocofiber} (\| \text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{n+1} F \| \rightarrow F)$.

Construction of $P_n F$ for the discrete calculus

Proposition

$P_n F$ is degree n .

Proof:

The comonad \perp_{n+1} arises from a composite of adjunctions

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathcal{D})_t \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{U} \\ \xleftarrow[\perp]{t^+} \end{array} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{n+1}, \mathcal{D}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \\ \xleftarrow[\perp]{\sqcup^*} \end{array} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

with $cr_{n+1} = U \circ t^+ \circ \sqcup^*$.

$P_n F$ is degree n , cont.

The unit for the adjunction provides a contracting homotopy for $cr_{n+1} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{n+1} F$ via an extra degeneracy, so that

$$\begin{aligned} cr_{n+1} P_n F &= \text{hocofiber} (\| cr_{n+1} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{n+1} F \| \rightarrow cr_{n+1} F) \\ &\simeq \text{hocofiber} (cr_{n+1} F \rightarrow cr_{n+1} F) \\ &\simeq * . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

- ▶ For a functor F that commutes with realization ($|F(-)| \xrightarrow{\cong} F \circ |-|$), the discrete $P_n F$ is weakly equivalent to the n -excisive $P_n F$.
- ▶ In general, the discrete $P_n F$ and the n -excisive $P_n F$ agree on the initial object of \mathcal{C} .

Calculus from Comonads (Hess-J, 20??)

Questions

For a comonad K acting on a (simplicial) model category \mathcal{C} and an object x in \mathcal{C} , we can always construct a new object

$$\Gamma_K(x) := \text{hocofiber} \left(\|\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^K(x)\| \rightarrow x \right).$$

- ▶ If we define degree n in terms of a comonad K (e.g., x is degree n iff $Kx \simeq *$), what conditions on K guarantee that $\Gamma_K(x)$ will be degree n for all x ?

Questions

- ▶ What conditions on a tower of comonads and comonad maps

$$\dots K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow K_1$$

will guarantee that

$$\dots \Gamma_{K_n}(x) \rightarrow \Gamma_{K_{n-1}}(x) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Gamma_{K_2}(x) \rightarrow \Gamma_{K_1}(x)$$

is a Taylor tower for x ?

- ▶ What are the essential properties of a Taylor tower (what makes a tower a calculus)?
- ▶ Can the process that produced the comonads for the discrete calculus tower be generalized?
- ▶ What kinds of new examples are produced?

What is a calculus?

Definition

Let \mathcal{M} be a model category, and let \mathcal{M}' be a subcategory of \mathcal{M} . Let Γ be a functor that assigns to an object x in \mathcal{M}' , a coaugmented tower of objects in \mathcal{M} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & X & & & \\ & & & \downarrow \eta_n^x & & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{n+1}x & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_n x & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_{n-1}x & \longrightarrow & \dots & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_0 x. \end{array}$$

If the following conditions hold, then Γ is a calculus on \mathcal{M}' with values in \mathcal{M} .

What is a calculus?

1. For all $m \leq n$ and all objects x in \mathcal{M}' , the natural transformation

$$\Gamma_m \eta_n(x) : \Gamma_m x \rightarrow \Gamma_m \Gamma_n x$$

is a weak equivalence.

2. For all $m \geq n$ and all objects x in \mathcal{M}' , the natural transformation

$$\eta_m(\Gamma_n x) : \Gamma_n x \rightarrow \Gamma_m \Gamma_n x$$

is a weak equivalence.

3. If $f : x \rightarrow x'$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{M}' , then $\Gamma_n f : \Gamma_n x \rightarrow \Gamma_n x'$ is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{M} for all n .

Example of a calculus

Let Ch be the category of unbounded chain complexes over a commutative ring R (with the projective model structure).

For $n \geq 0$, define $\Gamma_n : \text{Ch} \rightarrow \text{Ch}$ by

$$\Gamma_n(X, d)_k = \begin{cases} X_k & : k \leq n \\ X_{n+1}/\ker d_{n+1} & : k = n+1 \\ 0 & : k > n+1, \end{cases}$$

Then

$$H_k(\Gamma_n(X, d)) = \begin{cases} H_k(X, d) & : k \leq n \\ 0 & : k > n. \end{cases}$$

The natural transformations $\eta_n : \text{Id}_{\text{Ch}} \rightarrow \Gamma_n$ and $\gamma_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_{n-1}$ are given by taking appropriate quotients.

The chain complexes that are of degree at most n with respect to Γ are those with homology concentrated in degree at most n

Conditions on comonads

Definition, current version

Let \mathcal{M} be a pointed simplicial model category, and let \mathcal{M}' be a subcategory of \mathcal{M} . A comonad $\mathbb{K} = (K, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ on \mathcal{M} is compliant with respect to \mathcal{M}' if

1. $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{K}}(x)$ is levelwise cofibrant for all objects x in \mathcal{M}' ,
2. K^s sends weak equivalences in \mathcal{M}' to weak equivalences, and
3. K admits natural transformations $|K^s(-)| \rightarrow K^s \circ |-|$ that are componentwise weak equivalences (plus a little more).

Two comonads K and L on \mathcal{M} that are compliant with respect to \mathcal{M}' are jointly compliant if all of the simplicial objects

$$\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{L}}(|\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{K}}(x)|), \quad \text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{K}}(|\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{L}}(x)|), \quad |\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{L}}\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{K}}(x)|_h, \quad |\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{L}}\text{Bar}_{\bullet}^{\mathbb{K}}(x)|_v$$

are levelwise cofibrant for all objects x in \mathcal{M}' .






Calculi from comonads

Ingredients

- ▶ \mathcal{M} is a pointed simplicial model category.
- ▶ \mathcal{M}' is a subcategory of \mathcal{M} .
- ▶ $\mathcal{K} = (\mathbb{K}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} \mathbb{K}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a tower of comonads.

Theorem

If each comonad \mathbb{K}_n is compliant with respect to \mathcal{M}' , and each pair of comonads $(\mathbb{K}_m, \mathbb{K}_n)$ is jointly compliant with respect to \mathcal{M}' , then the coaugmented tower obtained from \mathcal{K} is a calculus.

-  A. Mauer-Oats, Algebraic Goodwillie calculus and a cotriple model for the remainder, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **358**, 2006, 1869-1895.
-  K. Bauer, B. Johnson, C. Osborne, E. Riehl, and A. Tebbe, Directional derivatives and higher order chain rules for abelian functor calculus, Topology Appl., **235**, 2018, 375–427.
-  K. Bauer, B. Johnson, R. McCarthy, Cross effects and calculus in an unbased setting, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **367 (9)**, 2015, pp 6671–6718.
-  A. Dold and D. Puppe, Homologie nicht-additiver Funktoren. Anwendungen, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **11**, 1961, 201–312.
-  S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane, Homology theories for multiplicative systems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **71**, 1951, 294–330.



S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane, On the groups $H(\Pi, n)$. II. Methods of computation, Ann. of Math. (2), **60**, 1954, 49–139.



T. Goodwillie, Calculus III. Geom. Topol., **7**, 2003, 645–711.



B. Johnson and R. McCarthy, Deriving calculus with cotriples, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **356** (2), 2004, pp 757–803.

Abelian Functor Calculus – Cross effects

An analogy:

For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, f is degree 1 $\Rightarrow f(x) = ax + b$ for some a and b .

Then

$$cr_1 f(x) := f(x) - f(0) = ax$$

is linear, and

$$cr_2 f(x, y) = cr_1 f(x + y) - cr_1 f(x) - cr_1 f(y) = 0.$$

For $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

f is degree 2 $\Rightarrow f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ for some a , b , and c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} cr_2 f(x, y) &= cr_1 f(x + y) - cr_1 f(x) - cr_1 f(y) \\ &= a(x + y)^2 + b(x + y) - ax^2 - bx - ay^2 - by \\ &= 2axy \end{aligned}$$

is linear in both x and y and

$$\begin{aligned} cr_3 f(x, y, z) &= cr_2 f(x, y + z) - cr_2 f(x, y) - cr_2 f(x, z) \\ &= 2ax(y + z) - 2axy - 2axz = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, f is degree n iff $cr_{n+1} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0$.