#### From 2-rigs to $\lambda$ -rings

Todd Trimble

Western Connecticut State University Department of Mathematics

August 5, 2021

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(O)へ(C)

Report on Baez, Moeller, T. Schur functors and categorified plethysm, https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00190

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Report on Baez, Moeller, T. Schur functors and categorified plethysm, https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00190

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 Goal: make explicit the rich plethora of structures on representations of symmetric groups from a conceptual 2-categorical point of view

- Report on Baez, Moeller, T. Schur functors and categorified plethysm, https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00190
- Goal: make explicit the rich plethora of structures on representations of symmetric groups from a conceptual 2-categorical point of view
- Hazewinkel: "It seems unlikely that there is any object in mathematics richer and/or more beautiful than this one [...]"

- Report on Baez, Moeller, T. Schur functors and categorified plethysm, https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.00190
- Goal: make explicit the rich plethora of structures on representations of symmetric groups from a conceptual 2-categorical point of view
- Hazewinkel: "It seems unlikely that there is any object in mathematics richer and/or more beautiful than this one [...]"

#### item Outline

- 1. Plethories
- 2. 2-plethories
- Decategorify the simplest 2-plethory to get the most beautiful object

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# What is a plethory?

"Classically" (Tall-Wraith, Stacey-Whitehouse, Borger-Wieland), a **plethory** consists of a (commutative) ring B

Equipped with a lift Φ as in



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

# What is a plethory?

"Classically" (Tall-Wraith, Stacey-Whitehouse, Borger-Wieland), a **plethory** consists of a (commutative) ring B

Equipped with a lift Φ as in



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Together with a comonad structure on Φ.

# What is a plethory?

"Classically" (Tall-Wraith, Stacey-Whitehouse, Borger-Wieland), a **plethory** consists of a (commutative) ring B

Equipped with a lift Φ as in



- Together with a comonad structure on Φ.
- Really, the same thing as a right adjoint comonad on Ring! (notes 1, 2)

More concretely: the lift  $\Phi$  in



amounts to putting a ring structure on hom-sets hom(B, R), naturally in R:

m(R): hom $(B, R) \times$  hom $(B, R) \rightarrow$  hom(B, R)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

More concretely: the lift  $\Phi$  in



amounts to putting a ring structure on hom-sets hom(B, R), naturally in R:

m(R): hom $(B, R) \times$  hom $(B, R) \rightarrow$  hom(B, R)

m(R): hom $(B + B, R) \rightarrow hom(B, R)$ 

More concretely: the lift  $\Phi$  in



amounts to putting a ring structure on hom-sets hom(B, R), naturally in R:

m(R): hom $(B, R) \times$  hom $(B, R) \rightarrow$  hom(B, R)

 $m(R): \hom(B+B,R) \to \hom(B,R)$ (coproduct in category of commutative rings: tensor product)

More concretely: the lift  $\Phi$  in



amounts to putting a ring structure on hom-sets hom(B, R), naturally in R:

m(R): hom $(B, R) \times$  hom $(B, R) \rightarrow$  hom(B, R)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

 $m(R) : hom(B + B, R) \rightarrow hom(B, R)$ (coproduct in category of commutative rings: tensor product)  $m(-) : hom(B \otimes B, -) \rightarrow hom(B, -)$ 

More concretely: the lift  $\Phi$  in



amounts to putting a ring structure on hom-sets hom(B, R), naturally in R:

m(R): hom $(B, R) \times$  hom $(B, R) \rightarrow$  hom(B, R)

m(R): hom $(B + B, R) \rightarrow hom(B, R)$ (coproduct in category of commutative rings: tensor product) m(-): hom $(B \otimes B, -) \rightarrow hom(B, -)$ 

 $\mu: B \to B \otimes B \quad \text{``comultiplication''}$ 

# Biring structure of plethory

The lift Φ in



is the same thing as endowing hom(B, -) with a (natural) ring structure (ring operations hom $(B, -)^n \to \text{hom}(B, -)$ ).

# Biring structure of plethory

The lift Φ in



is the same thing as endowing hom(B, -) with a (natural) ring structure (ring operations hom $(B, -)^n \to \text{hom}(B, -)$ ).

By Yoneda, the lift Φ is the same as endowing B with co-operations, dual to ring operations:

- 1. Comultiplication  $\mu: B \to B \otimes B$ ,
- 2. Co-addition  $\alpha: B \to B \otimes B$
- 3. Co-zero  $o: B \to \mathbb{Z}$  (map to initial ring)
- 4. Co-one  $v: B \to \mathbb{Z}$
- 5. Co-negation  $\nu: B \rightarrow B$

satisfying conditions dual to ring axioms.

# Biring structure of plethory

The lift Φ in



is the same thing as endowing hom(B, -) with a (natural) ring structure (ring operations hom $(B, -)^n \to \text{hom}(B, -)$ ).

By Yoneda, the lift Φ is the same as endowing B with co-operations, dual to ring operations:

- 1. Comultiplication  $\mu: B \to B \otimes B$ ,
- 2. Co-addition  $\alpha: B \to B \otimes B$
- 3. Co-zero  $o: B \to \mathbb{Z}$  (map to initial ring)
- 4. Co-one  $v: B \to \mathbb{Z}$
- 5. Co-negation  $\nu: B \to B$

satisfying conditions dual to ring axioms.

"Biring" B: co-ring object in (+)-monoidal category of rings.

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ ()

$$\left(\mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{U} \mathsf{Set}\right) \cong (\mathsf{hom}(B, -) : \mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Set})$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$\left(\operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \xrightarrow{U} \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right) \cong \left(\operatorname{\mathsf{hom}}(B,-) : \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right)$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$U\Phi \xrightarrow{U\delta} U\Phi\Phi$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

$$\left(\operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{\Phi}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{U}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right) \cong \left(\operatorname{\mathsf{hom}}(B, -) : \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right)$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$U\Phi \stackrel{U\delta}{\rightarrow} U\Phi\Phi$$
  
hom $(B,-) \rightarrow$  hom $(B,\Phi-)$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

$$\left(\operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{\Phi}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{U}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right) \cong \left(\operatorname{\mathsf{hom}}(B,-) : \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right)$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$egin{array}{ccc} U\Phi & \stackrel{U\delta}{
ightarrow} & U\Phi\Phi \ \mathsf{hom}(B,-) & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\Phi-) \ 1 & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\PhiB) \end{array}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

$$\left(\mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{U} \mathsf{Set}\right) \cong (\mathsf{hom}(B, -) : \mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Set})$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$egin{array}{cccc} U\Phi & \stackrel{U\delta}{
ightarrow} & U\Phi\Phi \ \mathsf{hom}(B,-) & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\Phi-) \ 1 & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\PhiB) \ B & 
ightarrow & \PhiB \end{array}$$

(ring homomorphism). Underlying function:  $UB \rightarrow hom(B, B)$ .

$$\left(\operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{\Phi}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \stackrel{U}{\to} \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right) \cong \left(\operatorname{\mathsf{hom}}(B,-) : \operatorname{\mathsf{Ring}} \to \operatorname{\mathsf{Set}}\right)$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi\Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$egin{array}{cccc} U\Phi & \stackrel{U\delta}{
ightarrow} & U\Phi\Phi \ \mathsf{hom}(B,-) & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\Phi-) \ 1 & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\PhiB) \ B & 
ightarrow & \PhiB \end{array}$$

(ring homomorphism). Underlying function:  $UB \rightarrow hom(B, B)$ .

$$UB \rightarrow \operatorname{Ring}(B, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}(UB, UB)$$

Binary operation ("plethysm")

$$UB \times UB \rightarrow UB$$
.

$$\left(\mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathsf{Ring} \xrightarrow{U} \mathsf{Set}\right) \cong (\mathsf{hom}(B, -) : \mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Set})$$

Concretely, what is a comonad structure  $\delta: \Phi \rightarrow \Phi \Phi, \varepsilon: \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ ?

$$egin{array}{cccc} U\Phi & \stackrel{U\delta}{
ightarrow} & U\Phi\Phi \ \mathsf{hom}(B,-) & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\Phi-) \ 1 & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(B,\PhiB) \ B & 
ightarrow & \PhiB \end{array}$$

(ring homomorphism). Underlying function:  $UB \rightarrow hom(B, B)$ .

```
UB \rightarrow \operatorname{Ring}(B, B) \rightarrow \operatorname{Set}(UB, UB)
```

Binary operation ("plethysm")

$$UB \times UB \rightarrow UB$$
.

**Theorem:**  $\Phi \xrightarrow{\delta} \Phi \Phi$  is coassociative iff plethysm is associative (notes 3, 4).

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

▶ What is the ring *B* for the following diagram?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

What is the ring B for the following diagram?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

•  $B = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Because hom $(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) \cong UR$ .

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

What is the ring B for the following diagram?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

B = Z[x]. Because hom(Z[x], R) ≅ UR.
Here B ⊗ B ≅ Z[x<sub>1</sub>] ⊗ Z[x<sub>2</sub>] ≅ Z[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>].

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

What is the ring B for the following diagram?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

- $B = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Because hom $(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) \cong UR$ .
- Here  $B \otimes B \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_2] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2].$
- Coaddition  $\alpha : B \to B \otimes B$ : the unique ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  that sends x to  $x_1 + x_2$ .

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

What is the ring B for the following diagram?



- $B = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Because hom $(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) \cong UR$ .
- Here  $B \otimes B \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_2] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$ .
- Coaddition  $\alpha : B \to B \otimes B$ : the unique ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  that sends x to  $x_1 + x_2$ .
- Comultiplication µ : B → B ⊗ B: the map Z[x] → Z[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>] sending x to x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub>.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

The simplest example:  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$  :  $\mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$ .

What is the ring B for the following diagram?



- $B = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Because hom $(\mathbb{Z}[x], R) \cong UR$ .
- Here  $B \otimes B \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_2] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2].$
- Coaddition  $\alpha : B \to B \otimes B$ : the unique ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  that sends x to  $x_1 + x_2$ .
- Comultiplication µ : B → B ⊗ B: the map Z[x] → Z[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>] sending x to x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>2</sub>.
- Co-zero and co-one are the maps  $B \to \mathbb{Z}$  sending  $x \mapsto 0, 1$ .

Here  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{Ring}$ . The map  $h : B \to \Phi B$  is the identity map:

 $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \Phi \mathbb{Z}[x] \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow} \mathsf{hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x], \mathbb{Z}[x])$ 

 $q(x) \mapsto q(x) \mapsto (x \mapsto q(x))$ 

Here  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{Ring}}$ . The map  $h: B \to \Phi B$  is the identity map:

Here  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Ring}}$ . The map  $h : B \to \Phi B$  is the identity map:  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to \Phi \mathbb{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{\sim} \hom(\mathbb{Z}[x], \mathbb{Z}[x])$   $q(x) \mapsto q(x) \mapsto (x \mapsto q(x))$   $x^n \mapsto q(x)^n$  $\sum_n p_n x^n \mapsto \sum_n p_n q(x)^n$ 

Here  $\Phi = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Ring}}$ . The map  $h: B \to \Phi B$  is the identity map:  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \Phi \mathbb{Z}[x] \xrightarrow{\sim} \hom(\mathbb{Z}[x], \mathbb{Z}[x])$  $q(x) \mapsto q(x) \mapsto (x \mapsto q(x))$  $x^n \mapsto q(x)^n$  $\sum_{n} p_n x^n \mapsto \sum_{n} p_n q(x)^n$  $p \mapsto p(q(x))$  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \times \mathbb{Z}[x] \to \mathbb{Z}[x]$  $(q(x), p(x)) \mapsto p(q(x))$ 

"Plethysm is substitution monoidal structure".

# Definition of 2-rig

Categorifying the notion of rig: for us, a 2-rig is

- ► A Vect-enriched category C,
- Closed under absolute colimits (giving 2-additive structure)
  - 1. Biproducts = direct sums  $A \oplus B$ ,
  - 2. Idempotent splittings: every idempotent  $e : A \rightarrow A$  factors as a retraction  $r : A \rightarrow B$  followed by a section  $i : B \rightarrow A$ , so that e = ir and  $ri = 1_B$ . In that case have a coequalizer

$$A \xrightarrow[1_A]{\stackrel{e}{\to}} A \xrightarrow{r} B.$$

# Definition of 2-rig

Categorifying the notion of rig: for us, a 2-rig is

- ► A Vect-enriched category C,
- Closed under absolute colimits (giving 2-additive structure)
  - 1. Biproducts = direct sums  $A \oplus B$ ,
  - 2. Idempotent splittings: every idempotent  $e : A \rightarrow A$  factors as a retraction  $r : A \rightarrow B$  followed by a section  $i : B \rightarrow A$ , so that e = ir and  $ri = 1_B$ . In that case have a coequalizer

$$A \xrightarrow[1_A]{e} A \xrightarrow{r} B.$$

► Equipped with a symmetric monoidal structure ⊗ in the Vect-enriched sense (giving 2-multiplicative structure).

Here  $A \otimes B$  automatically preserves absolute colimits in each of the separate arguments A, B, i.e., 2-distributivity is automatic in this context.

# The free 2-rig

The initial 2-rig is Vec, the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces. The (unique up to isomorphism) map  $\text{Vec} \rightarrow C$  sends the 1-dim space k to the monoidal unit I.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●
The initial 2-rig is Vec, the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces. The (unique up to isomorphism) map  $\text{Vec} \rightarrow C$  sends the 1-dim space k to the monoidal unit I.

The free 2-rig on one generator: mimic the construction of the free rig  $\mathbb{N}[x]$  on one generator.

Form  $\mathbb{N}[x]$  in two steps:

- First form the free commutative (multiplicative) monoid on one generator x (monomials x<sup>n</sup>).
- Then form the free commutative (additive) monoid on that (polynomials a<sub>0</sub> + a<sub>1</sub>x + ... + a<sub>n</sub>x<sup>n</sup> with coefficients in ℕ).

Analogously, to form the free 2-rig Vec[x] on one generator:

► First form the free symmetric monoidal category on one generator (permutation groupoid P with objects [n], non-empty homs are hom([n], [n]) = S<sub>n</sub>),

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Analogously, to form the free 2-rig Vec[x] on one generator:

- ► First form the free symmetric monoidal category on one generator (permutation groupoid P with objects [n], non-empty homs are hom([n], [n]) = S<sub>n</sub>),
- Then form the free Cauchy-complete Vect-enriched category on that:

1. "Vectorialize" the homs  $S_n$ : get group algebras  $kS_n$ .

Analogously, to form the free 2-rig Vec[x] on one generator:

- ► First form the free symmetric monoidal category on one generator (permutation groupoid P with objects [n], non-empty homs are hom([n], [n]) = S<sub>n</sub>),
- Then form the free Cauchy-complete Vect-enriched category on that:
  - 1. "Vectorialize" the homs  $S_n$ : get group algebras  $kS_n$ .

2. Close up under finite direct sums:

$$\hom(\sum_{i} a_{i}[i], \sum_{i} b_{j}[i]) = \sum_{i} a_{i}b_{i}kS_{i}$$

Analogously, to form the free 2-rig Vec[x] on one generator:

- ► First form the free symmetric monoidal category on one generator (permutation groupoid P with objects [n], non-empty homs are hom([n], [n]) = S<sub>n</sub>),
- Then form the free Cauchy-complete Vect-enriched category on that:
  - 1. "Vectorialize" the homs  $S_n$ : get group algebras  $kS_n$ .
  - 2. Close up under finite direct sums:

$$\hom(\sum_i a_i[i], \sum_i b_j[i]) = \sum_i a_i b_i k S_i$$

3. Close up under idempotent splittings. If char(k) = 0, one gets arbitrary functors

$$\mathbb{P} o \mathsf{Vec}$$

of finite support.

In  $k[S_2]$ , let  $\sigma$  be the transposition. Define idempotent maps  $e_-, e_+ : k[S_2] \to k[S_2]$  given by multiplying by  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sigma)$ , e.g.,

$$e_{-}^{2} = \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{1-2\sigma+\sigma^{2}}{4} = \frac{2-2\sigma}{4} = \frac{1-\sigma}{2}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

In  $k[S_2]$ , let  $\sigma$  be the transposition. Define idempotent maps  $e_-, e_+ : k[S_2] \to k[S_2]$  given by multiplying by  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sigma)$ , e.g.,

$$e_{-}^{2} = \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{1-2\sigma+\sigma^{2}}{4} = \frac{2-2\sigma}{4} = \frac{1-\sigma}{2}.$$

Let  $E_{-}$  be the corresponding idempotent splitting (retract) in Vec[x]:

$$x^{\otimes 2} o E_{-} o x^{\otimes 2}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

In  $k[S_2]$ , let  $\sigma$  be the transposition. Define idempotent maps  $e_-, e_+ : k[S_2] \to k[S_2]$  given by multiplying by  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sigma)$ , e.g.,

$$e_{-}^{2} = \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{1-2\sigma+\sigma^{2}}{4} = \frac{2-2\sigma}{4} = \frac{1-\sigma}{2}.$$

Let  $E_{-}$  be the corresponding idempotent splitting (retract) in Vec[x]:

$$x^{\otimes 2} o E_- o x^{\otimes 2}.$$

If C is any 2-rig, with an object V, the 2-rig map  $Vec[x] \rightarrow C$  that sends x to V also sends the retract  $E_{-}$  to a retract

$$V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow E_{-}(V) \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2},$$

usually denoted  $E_{-}(V) = \Lambda^{2}(V)$ .

In  $k[S_2]$ , let  $\sigma$  be the transposition. Define idempotent maps  $e_-, e_+ : k[S_2] \to k[S_2]$  given by multiplying by  $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sigma)$ , e.g.,

$$e_{-}^{2} = \left(\frac{1-\sigma}{2}\right)^{2} = \frac{1-2\sigma+\sigma^{2}}{4} = \frac{2-2\sigma}{4} = \frac{1-\sigma}{2}.$$

Let  $E_{-}$  be the corresponding idempotent splitting (retract) in Vec[x]:

$$x^{\otimes 2} \to E_- \to x^{\otimes 2}.$$

If C is any 2-rig, with an object V, the 2-rig map  $Vec[x] \rightarrow C$  that sends x to V also sends the retract  $E_{-}$  to a retract

$$V^{\otimes 2} \to E_{-}(V) \to V^{\otimes 2},$$

usually denoted  $E_{-}(V) = \Lambda^{2}(V)$ . If  $E_{+}$  is the retract corresponding to  $e_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma)$ , then we similarly have a retract  $E_{+}(V)$  of  $V^{\otimes 2}$ , usually denoted  $E_{+}(V) = S^{2}(V)$ .

Analogous to the biring structure on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , there is a 2-birig structure on Vec[x]:

► (2-)coproducts of 2-rigs are like tensor products. If C and D are 2-rigs, then their coproduct C ⊠ D is the closure under biproducts, idempotent splittings of the tensor C ⊗ D, where

$$(C \otimes D)((c_1, d_1), (c_2, d_2)) = C(c_1, c_2) \otimes D(d_1, d_2).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Analogous to the biring structure on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , there is a 2-birig structure on Vec[x]:

► (2-)coproducts of 2-rigs are like tensor products. If C and D are 2-rigs, then their coproduct C ⊠ D is the closure under biproducts, idempotent splittings of the tensor C ⊗ D, where

$$(C \otimes D)((c_1, d_1), (c_2, d_2)) = C(c_1, c_2) \otimes D(d_1, d_2).$$

We have Vec[x<sub>1</sub>] ⊠ Vec[x<sub>2</sub>] ≃ Vec[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>]. This is the same as the category of finitary functors

$$\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathsf{Vec}.$$

Analogous to the biring structure on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , there is a 2-birig structure on Vec[x]:

► (2-)coproducts of 2-rigs are like tensor products. If C and D are 2-rigs, then their coproduct C ⊠ D is the closure under biproducts, idempotent splittings of the tensor C ⊗ D, where

$$(C \otimes D)((c_1, d_1), (c_2, d_2)) = C(c_1, c_2) \otimes D(d_1, d_2).$$

We have Vec[x<sub>1</sub>] ⊠ Vec[x<sub>2</sub>] ≃ Vec[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>]. This is the same as the category of finitary functors

$$\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathsf{Vec}.$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

The 2-birig co-addition is the unique 2-rig map Vec[x] → Vec[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>] that sends x to x<sub>1</sub> ⊕ x<sub>2</sub>.

Analogous to the biring structure on  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , there is a 2-birig structure on Vec[x]:

► (2-)coproducts of 2-rigs are like tensor products. If C and D are 2-rigs, then their coproduct C ⊠ D is the closure under biproducts, idempotent splittings of the tensor C ⊗ D, where

$$(C \otimes D)((c_1, d_1), (c_2, d_2)) = C(c_1, c_2) \otimes D(d_1, d_2).$$

We have Vec[x<sub>1</sub>] ⊠ Vec[x<sub>2</sub>] ≃ Vec[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>]. This is the same as the category of finitary functors

$$\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \to \mathsf{Vec}.$$

- The 2-birig co-addition is the unique 2-rig map Vec[x] → Vec[x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>] that sends x to x<sub>1</sub> ⊕ x<sub>2</sub>.
- Co-multiplication  $Vec[x] \rightarrow Vec[x_1, x_2]$  sends x to  $x_1 \otimes x_2$ .
- ▶ 2-birig co-zero, co-one  $Vec[x] \rightarrow Vec \text{ send } x \text{ to } 0, k.$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ



 $\delta: \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{11}$  corresponds to a 2-rig map  $h = id : Vec[x] \to \mathbf{1}Vec[x]$ . This corresponds in turn to the canonical 2-rig map

$$\operatorname{Vec}[x] \rightarrow 2\operatorname{Rig}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x])$$

$$Q \mapsto (x \mapsto Q)$$



 $\delta: \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{11}$  corresponds to a 2-rig map  $h = id : Vec[x] \to \mathbf{1}Vec[x]$ . This corresponds in turn to the canonical 2-rig map

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



 $\delta: \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{11}$  corresponds to a 2-rig map  $h = id : Vec[x] \to \mathbf{1}Vec[x]$ . This corresponds in turn to the canonical 2-rig map

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{Vec}[x] & \to & & 2\mathsf{Rig}(\mathsf{Vec}[x],\mathsf{Vec}[x]) \\ Q & \mapsto & & (x \mapsto Q) \\ & & & x^{\otimes n} \mapsto Q^{\otimes n} \\ & & & \sum_n \mathsf{a}_n x^{\otimes n} \mapsto \sum_n \mathsf{a}_n Q^{\otimes n} \end{array}$$



 $\delta: \mathbf{1} \to \mathbf{11}$  corresponds to a 2-rig map  $h = id : Vec[x] \to \mathbf{1}Vec[x]$ . This corresponds in turn to the canonical 2-rig map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \bigvee & 2\operatorname{Rig}(\operatorname{Vec}[x],\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \\ Q & \mapsto & (x \mapsto Q) \\ & & x^{\otimes n} \mapsto Q^{\otimes n} \\ & & \sum_n a_n x^{\otimes n} \mapsto \sum_n a_n Q^{\otimes n} \\ & & \left(A \xrightarrow{r} E \xrightarrow{i} A\right) \mapsto (A \circ Q \to \underbrace{E} \circ Q \to A \circ Q) \end{array}$$

De-currying, we obtain a functor (2-plethysm)

 $\mathsf{Vec}[x] \times \mathsf{Vec}[x] \to \mathsf{Vec}[x]$ 

 $(Q,E)\mapsto E\circ Q$ 

**Theorem:** The 2-plethysm functor is associative up to coherent isomorphism. It is part of a (plethystic) monoidal category structure on Vec[x]. (Compare "substitution product" of Joyal species.)

The "trivial" 2-plethory  $1:2\text{Rig}\rightarrow 2\text{Rig}$  descends, through decategorification, to a highly nontrivial rig-plethory Rig  $\rightarrow$  Rig!

General idea: if B is a 2-rig, and if H(B) is the set of isomorphism classes of objects of B, then  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  on B induce a rig structure on H(B). This rig is denoted J(B).

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The "trivial" 2-plethory  $1:2\mathsf{Rig}\to 2\mathsf{Rig}$  descends, through decategorification, to a highly nontrivial rig-plethory  $\mathsf{Rig}\to\mathsf{Rig}!$ 

General idea: if B is a 2-rig, and if H(B) is the set of isomorphism classes of objects of B, then  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  on B induce a rig structure on H(B). This rig is denoted J(B).

Very roughly: have a product-preserving functor

 $J: 2\mathsf{Rig} \to \mathsf{Rig}$ 

But what do we do with the 2-cells of 2Rig?

The "trivial" 2-plethory  $1:2\mathsf{Rig}\to 2\mathsf{Rig}$  descends, through decategorification, to a highly nontrivial rig-plethory  $\mathsf{Rig}\to\mathsf{Rig}!$ 

General idea: if B is a 2-rig, and if H(B) is the set of isomorphism classes of objects of B, then  $\otimes$  and  $\oplus$  on B induce a rig structure on H(B). This rig is denoted J(B).

Very roughly: have a product-preserving functor

 $J: 2 \operatorname{Rig} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rig}$ 

But what do we do with the 2-cells of 2Rig?

**Definition:** If C is a 2-category (a Cat-enriched category), then  $C_{ho}$  is the ordinary (Set-enriched) category obtained by applying

$$\mathsf{Cat} \stackrel{\mathsf{core}}{\to} \mathsf{Gpd} \stackrel{\pi_0}{\to} \mathsf{Set}$$

to the Cat-valued homs of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The 1-cells of  $\mathcal{C}_{ho}$  are the 2-isomorphism classes of 1-cells of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The 1-cells of  $C_{ho}$  are the 2-isomorphism classes of 1-cells of C. **Proposition:** If C is a category, regarded as an object of  $Cat_{ho}$ , then

$$\mathsf{hom}(1,-):\mathsf{Cat}_{\mathsf{ho}} o\mathsf{Set}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

takes C to  $(\pi_0 \text{core})(C)$ .

The 1-cells of  $C_{ho}$  are the 2-isomorphism classes of 1-cells of C. **Proposition:** If C is a category, regarded as an object of  $Cat_{ho}$ , then

$$\mathsf{hom}(1,-):\mathsf{Cat}_{\mathsf{ho}} o\mathsf{Set}$$

takes C to  $(\pi_0 \text{core})(C)$ .

**Corollary:** If C is a 2-category, and c, d are objects, then

$$\mathsf{hom}(1,\mathcal{C}(c,d)) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{ho}}(c,d)$$

regarding the category C(c, d) as belonging to Cat<sub>ho</sub>.

**Proposition:** There is a product-preserving lift J of the bottom composite in



Namely, if R is a 2-rig, then  $U_{ho}(R)$  is a rig object in Cat<sub>ho</sub>, and the functor hom(1, -) is product-preserving (hence preserves rig objects). Hence the set

$$H(R) = \hom(1, U_{\rm ho}(R))$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

carries rig structure. This rig is J(R).

**Proposition:** There is a product-preserving lift J of the bottom composite in



Namely, if R is a 2-rig, then  $U_{ho}(R)$  is a rig object in Cat<sub>ho</sub>, and the functor hom(1, -) is product-preserving (hence preserves rig objects). Hence the set

$$H(R) = \hom(1, U_{\rm ho}(R))$$

carries rig structure. This rig is J(R). We let  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ .

 $H(R) \cong \operatorname{hom}(1, 2\operatorname{Rig}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], R)) = 2\operatorname{Rig}_{\operatorname{ho}}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], R)$ 

**Theorem:**  $J : 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho} \to \operatorname{Rig}$  preserves copowers of  $\operatorname{Vec}[x]$ . (note 5)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

**Theorem:**  $J : 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho} \to \operatorname{Rig}$  preserves copowers of  $\operatorname{Vec}[x]$ . (note 5)

For example,  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]^{\boxtimes 2}) \cong J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])^{\otimes 2} = \Lambda_+^{\otimes 2}$ .

This result allows us to define a birig structure on  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ . For example, start with 2-coaddition

 $\alpha: \mathsf{Vec}[x] \to \mathsf{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \mathsf{Vec}[x]$ 

**Theorem:**  $J : 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho} \to \operatorname{Rig}$  preserves copowers of  $\operatorname{Vec}[x]$ . (note 5)

For example,  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]^{\boxtimes 2}) \cong J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])^{\otimes 2} = \Lambda_+^{\otimes 2}$ .

This result allows us to define a birig structure on  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ . For example, start with 2-coaddition

$$\alpha: \mathsf{Vec}[x] \to \mathsf{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \mathsf{Vec}[x]$$

Apply J:

$$J(\alpha) : J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \to J(\operatorname{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \operatorname{Vec}[x])$$

**Theorem:**  $J : 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho} \to \operatorname{Rig}$  preserves copowers of  $\operatorname{Vec}[x]$ . (note 5)

For example,  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]^{\boxtimes 2}) \cong J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])^{\otimes 2} = \Lambda_+^{\otimes 2}$ .

This result allows us to define a birig structure on  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ . For example, start with 2-coaddition

$$\alpha: \mathsf{Vec}[x] \to \mathsf{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \mathsf{Vec}[x]$$

Apply J:

$$J(\alpha): J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \to J(\operatorname{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \operatorname{Vec}[x])$$

Compose:

$$J(\mathsf{Vec}[x]) \stackrel{J(\alpha)}{\to} J(\mathsf{Vec}[x] \boxtimes \mathsf{Vec}[x]) \cong J(\mathsf{Vec}[x]) \otimes J(\mathsf{Vec}[x])$$

$$\mathsf{co}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{add}:\Lambda_+\to\Lambda_+\otimes\Lambda_+$$

Rig-plethory structure on  $\Lambda_+$ 

The birig structure on  $\Lambda_+$  provides a lift  $\Phi$ 



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

### Rig-plethory structure on $\Lambda_+$

The birig structure on  $\Lambda_+$  provides a lift  $\Phi$ 



We want a comonad structure on  $\Phi$ : a comultiplication  $\delta: \Phi \to \Phi \Phi$  and counit  $\varepsilon: \Phi \to \mathbf{1}_{Rig}$ .

Recall that  $U\Phi \rightarrow U\Phi\Phi$  amounts to structure map

$$h: U\Lambda_+ \to \operatorname{Rig}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Rig-plethory structure on  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ 



 $H(R) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], R)$  $H(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x])$  $UJ(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x])$ 

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ・ ・ ・

э

Rig-plethory structure on  $\Lambda_+ = J(\text{Vec}[x])$ 



 $H(R) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], R)$  $H(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x])$  $UJ(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x])$ 

Compose:

 $UJ(\operatorname{Vec}[x]) \cong 2\operatorname{Rig}_{ho}(\operatorname{Vec}[x], \operatorname{Vec}[x]) \to \operatorname{Rig}(J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]), J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]))$  $U\Lambda_+ \to \operatorname{Rig}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+)$
Theorem: The (rig) map

$$U\Lambda_+ \to \mathsf{Rig}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+)$$

gives rise to an associative plethystic multiplication

$$U\Lambda_+ \times U\Lambda_+ \to U\Lambda_+,$$

compatibly with the birig structure (note 4), so that it defines a coassociative transformation  $\delta : \Phi \to \Phi \Phi$  that is part of a rig-plethory (a right adjoint comonad on Rig).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Theorem: The (rig) map

$$U\Lambda_+ \to \mathsf{Rig}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+)$$

gives rise to an associative plethystic multiplication

$$U\Lambda_+ \times U\Lambda_+ \to U\Lambda_+,$$

compatibly with the birig structure (note 4), so that it defines a coassociative transformation  $\delta : \Phi \to \Phi \Phi$  that is part of a rig-plethory (a right adjoint comonad on Rig).

The ringification

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \Lambda_+$$

(the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Vec}[x])$ ) similarly carries a canonical plethory structure, i.e., there is a canonical lift  $\Phi$  : Ring  $\rightarrow$  Ring of hom $(\Lambda, -)$  : Ring  $\rightarrow$  Set with a comonad structure. (note 6)

Theorem: The (rig) map

$$U\Lambda_+ \to \mathsf{Rig}(\Lambda_+, \Lambda_+)$$

gives rise to an associative plethystic multiplication

$$U\Lambda_+ \times U\Lambda_+ \to U\Lambda_+,$$

compatibly with the birig structure (note 4), so that it defines a coassociative transformation  $\delta : \Phi \to \Phi \Phi$  that is part of a rig-plethory (a right adjoint comonad on Rig).

The ringification

$$\Lambda = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \Lambda_+$$

(the Grothendieck ring  $K_0(\text{Vec}[x])$ ) similarly carries a canonical plethory structure, i.e., there is a canonical lift  $\Phi$  : Ring  $\rightarrow$  Ring of hom $(\Lambda, -)$  : Ring  $\rightarrow$  Set with a comonad structure. (note 6)

A  $\lambda$ -ring is then a  $\Phi$ -coalgebra. (notes 7, 8)

Thank you!

・ロト・(四)・(日)・(日)・(日)・(日)

1. Or a left adjoint monad on Ring. (String diagrams show that the left adjoint of a comonad carries a monad structure, and dually the right adjoint of a monad carries a comonad structure; the back-and forths are mutually inverse.) Moreover, in this case the category of coalgebras of the comonad is equivalent to the category of algebras of the monad: the forgetful functor from either is both monadic and comonadic.

2. If  $\Phi$  is a right adjoint, then so is  $U\Phi$ , and any right adjoint to Set is representable:  $U\Phi \cong \hom(B, -)$ . Conversely, by an adjoint lifting theorem, any lift of a representable through U: Ring  $\rightarrow$  Set must be a right adjoint. The same applies to any monadic category  $U: C \rightarrow$  Set in place of U: Ring  $\rightarrow$  Set.

3. Of course there is also the counit  $\varepsilon : \Phi \to \mathbf{1}$ . We have  $U\mathbf{1} \cong \hom(\mathbb{Z}[x], -)$ . Thus

$$egin{array}{ccc} U \Phi & \stackrel{U arepsilon}{
ightarrow} & U \mathbf{1} \ \mathsf{hom}(B,-) & 
ightarrow & \mathsf{hom}(\mathbb{Z}[x],-) \ \mathbb{Z}[x] & 
ightarrow & B. \end{array}$$

The composite

$$1 \stackrel{[x]}{\to} U\mathbb{Z}[x] \to UB$$

picks out an element  $\iota$  of UB. This element is a unit for plethysm iff  $\varepsilon$  obeys the comonad counit equations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

4.  $H: U\Phi \rightarrow U\Phi\Phi$  corresponding to  $h: B \rightarrow \Phi B$  is of the form  $H = U\delta: U\Phi \rightarrow U\Phi\Phi$ , iff H preserves the ring operations (induced from biring structure). E.g., here preservation of multiplication:

In terms of co-multiplication  $\mu$ , this is equivalent to

$$B \xrightarrow{h} \Phi B$$

$$\mu \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Phi(\mu) \quad , \text{ where}$$

$$B \otimes B \xrightarrow{h_{(2)}} \Phi(B \otimes B)$$

 $B \xrightarrow{i_1, i_2} B \otimes B \xrightarrow{h_{(2)}} \Phi(B \otimes B) = B \xrightarrow{h} \Phi B \xrightarrow{\Phi(i_1), \Phi(i_2)} \Phi(B \otimes B)$ 

5. **Theorem:** Let  $n_1, \ldots, n_p$  be natural numbers. If char(k) = 0, then every irrep of  $k[S_{n_1} \times \ldots S_{n_p}]$  is a tensor product  $\rho_i \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_p$  of irreps  $\rho_i$  of  $k[S_{n_i}]$  that are determined uniquely up to isomorphism.  $\Box$ 

Now  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])$  is a free  $\mathbb{N}$ -module on isomorphism classes of irreps of symmetric groups, so that  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])^{\otimes p}$  is a free  $\mathbb{N}$ -module on p-tuples of such classes. Meanwhile  $J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]^{\boxtimes p})$  consists of isomorphism classes of functors  $\mathbb{P}^{\times p} \to \operatorname{Vec}$  of finite support. The canonical rig map

$$J(\operatorname{Vec}[x])^{\otimes p} \to J(\operatorname{Vec}[x]^{\boxtimes p})$$

is the  $\mathbb N\text{-module}$  map that freely extends the mapping

$$([\rho_1],\ldots,[\rho_p])\mapsto [\rho_1\otimes\ldots\otimes\rho_p]$$

Since this mapping is a bijection by the theorem, the canonical rig map is an isomorphism.

6. The main problem is to define the co-negation co-operation on  $\Lambda$ . This is explained in section 7 of the paper, but in outline, one considers the 2-rig  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Vec[x]-objects ( $C_0, C_1$ ), with the usual symmetry that involves a sign change when permuting homogeneous elements of odd degree. Then  $J(\mathcal{G})$  is a rig of pairs ([ $C_0$ ], [ $C_1$ ]) and there is a well-defined rig map

$$\partial: J(\mathcal{G}) \to \Lambda$$

taking  $([C_0], [C_1]) \mapsto [C_0] - [C_1]$ . Form the 2-rig map  $\phi_- : \operatorname{Vec}[x] \to \mathcal{G}$  that takes x to (0, x). This behaves something like a categorified co-negation. Form the rig composite

$$\Lambda_+ = J(\operatorname{\mathsf{Vec}}[x]) \stackrel{J(\phi_-)}{\to} J(\mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\partial}{\to} \Lambda$$

and freely extend this rig map to a ring map  $\Lambda \to \Lambda$ . This gives the desired co-negation on the biring  $\Lambda$ . The proof that this works uses a "categorified Euler formula".

7. Or again, as in Note 1, the algebras of a left adjoint monad. This is the more usual tack taken (as in Borger-Wieland). The point is that there is an equivalence between left adjoint endofunctors on Ring and birings; since left adjoint endofunctors compose, there is a monoidal structure on Ladj(Ring), which may be transferred across the equivalence

#### $\mathsf{Ladj}(\mathsf{Ring}) \simeq \mathsf{Biring}$

to give a monoidal product on Biring, usually denoted  $\odot$ . Then a plethory may be defined to be a biring *B* with a  $\odot$ -monoid structure. In that case, a  $\lambda$ -algebra may be defined to be an algebra for the monad

#### $\Psi = \Lambda \odot - : \mathsf{Ring} \to \mathsf{Ring}$

that is left adjoint to the comonad  $\Phi$ . Incidentally, this comonad is know as the "big Witt functor W"; W-coalgebras are the same as  $\Lambda$ -algebras.

8. To be sure, this is not the usual way of presenting  $\lambda$ -rings! One of the virtues however is making explicit the conceptual reason for why the usual examples (virtual differences of group reps, of vector bundles, etc.) form  $\lambda$ -rings: it's because  $\operatorname{Vec}[x]$  acts tautologically on any 2-rig via Schur functors, analogous to how the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  acts tautologically on any ring R by the unique ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to \operatorname{Func}(R, R)$  sending x to  $1_R$  (where the codomain carries the pointwise ring structure).

The usual way is to define a  $\lambda$ -ring as a ring R together a series of operations  $\lambda^i : R \to R$  that abstract exterior power operations (exterior powers giving a main example of Schur functors). These  $\lambda$ -operations obey a complicated set of equations which may be found in many texts; we do not reproduce them here.